

9-23-2015

Wave Particle Duality and “ Spinning Negative particle theory”

During sinkhole collapse research, Atomic theory was deeply involved to understand the compression shock forces creating the photon pulses, noises etc. These compressive forces on these atomic gases was frankly never considered...not thought about. I believe it is possible these atoms may be so crushed during surface PLUG collapse into these ROUND holes creating a COMPRESSION chamber. This is likely to create unknown events (cosmic proton or heavy particle emissions. pure speculation). Remember there are mostly protons and electrons, not much crush-able. This is the cause of atomic shock events.

THIS IS THE HEART OF THE THEORY "Spinning Negative particle theory". A particle spinning in a circular vortex and moving forward has a wave quality and is a particle. All particles of light are negative particles of the same physical size going the same forward speed. (likely electrons). Extreme shock event particles are unpredictable.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0QmBHL8SFpo>

There are 4 parts.

ALL particles move forward at 186,000/sec ...only the frequency changes...THIS IS IMPORTANT.

I believe light is Trillions of neg particles (likely electrons) that spin in a circle. All slit experiments result in the expected patterns. The wave particle duality problem appears solved if the particle is spinning in a circular manner going forward at 186,000/sec.

Every particle goes forward at the same 186,000. Some will be tiny tight spin diameter ranging to large diameter spins. The spin diameter is the quantum state.

1 The tighter the spin diameter the more power and shorter wavelength high frequency. The angular circle spin momentum creates the Energy. (Violet) high energy

2 A low energy particle would have a large diameter spin therefore a long frequency wavelength and less angular momentum means less energy.(red) low energy

Formula something like $E = \text{Freq} \times C^2 \times .511 \text{ meV}$

Maybe an angular momentum factor also, what do you think?

Mass would equal Rest mass of an electron $\times C \times \text{AMfactor}$...maybe, not sure.

Calculations need refinement and I would appreciate any thoughts that may help.

As you can see I am a simple person and like simple explanations.

Warm appreciation for your attention.

Roger Spurr